UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,917	02/20/2004	Tohru Horio	248233US2	5308	
22850 7590 04/19/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			MCCULLOUGH, MICHAEL C		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
3653					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/19/2007	ELECT	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/19/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/781,917	HORIO, TOHRU				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael C. McCullough	3653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rest. Beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	26 January 2007	•				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
D!							
•	ion of Claims		•				
	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the applica		•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	na/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			21(d).			
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	_	aian maianite condon 25 H C C S	: 110(a) (d) or (f)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 0.5.C. §	3 119(a)-(u) or (i).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	nente have been received		r			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum		nationation No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum			•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stag	C			
* 6	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a		received				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies flot	received.				
		·					
Attachmen		∧ □ 1_1/	Summany (DTO 413)				
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Infor	Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-940) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
S Patent and	Frademark Office						

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 2, 6-11, 16, 20-25, 31, and 34-39 are objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(i).
- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: grammatical error "the another sheet", line 8.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 7, 21, and 35 recite the limitation "the second sheet detecting device" in lines 9, 10, and 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purpose of expediting prosecution, the claim will be examined in the remainder of this action as if it read "the second detecting device".
- 4. Claims 43-45 appear to be written to invoke 35 USC 112 6th paragraph. However, the applicant has not formally invoked 35 USC 112 6th paragraph. Therefore, the examiner has construed-the-claims as not-invoking 35 USC 112 6th paragraph. If the applicant wishes to invoke 35 USC 112 6th paragraph, please make a formal statement and identify the corresponding structure in the specification for each means plus function citation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3653

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byeon et al. (US 6,381,441 B1) in view of Hirota et al. (US 6,206,359 B1). Byeon et al. discloses an image reading/forming device (see column 1 lines 21-22), a pick-up device (see Figure 1 elements 55, 56, 57), a drive device that is a pulse motor (see column 8 lines 7-18), at least one detecting device (see Figure 2 elements 91-95), a control device (see Figure 2 element 100), a display device (see Figure 4 S222), and a drive amount that comprises a drive time (see column 7 lines 14-19).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 6, 15, 17, 20, 29, 31, and 34 control logic, see column 8 lines 7-44.

Regarding claims 2, 16, and 30 control logic, see column 7 lines 14-19 and column 8 lines 45-54.

Regarding claims 7, 21, and 35 control logic, see column 3 lines 36-43.

Regarding claims 10, 11, 24, 25, 38, and 39 control logic, see column 7 lines 27-39 and column 3 lines 19-35.

Byeon et al. does not disclose a separating device comprising a rotary member and an obstructing member.

However, Hirota et al. discloses a similar device that includes a separating device comprising a rotary member (see Figure 1 element 16) and an obstructing member (see column 3 lines 57-58) for the purpose of separating documents one by one (see column 3 lines 56-58. It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 3653

in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Byeon et al. by utilizing a separating device comprising a rotary member and an obstructing member, as disclosed by Hirota et al., for the purpose of separating documents one by one.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. McCullough whose telephone number is (571) 272-7805. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on (571) 272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MCM

PATRICK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Page 5